

Corporate/Department/Team Project:

Site

Service Objective/Process:

Description:
opportunities

This Risk Register will identify both sides of risks 1) downside risks which threaten success and 2) upside risk

Date of Assessment:

Review Date:

Compiled by:

NO.	IDENTIFIED RISK OR OPPORTUNITY	CURRENT CONTROLS	EFFECTIVENESS OF CONTROLS – score A IU*	ASSESSMENT OF RISK SCORE			FURTHER CONTROLS REQUIRED and/or additional action to improve controls	RESOURCES REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL CONTROLS	ASSESSMENT OF RESIDUAL RISK with control measures implemented			Responsible Officer	Timescale/ Review Frequency
				Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Risk Factor			Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Risk Factor		
1	Local communities express concern about decision to retain vessel	None	U	2	2	4	Issue statement making transparent the processes involved in making the recommendation and the terms on which it was made (also the fact that some remains will be returned), via RP&M website	Small amount of staff time	2	1	2	Helen Meers (Keeper of World Art)	30 June 2009

27

* Key to Effectiveness of Controls
A = Adequate I = Inadequate U = Unknown

Corporate/Department/Team Project:

Site

Service Objective/Process:

Description:

This Risk Register will identify both sides of risks 1) downside risks which threaten success and 2) upside risk opportunities

Date of Assessment:

Review Date:

Compiled by:

NO.	IDENTIFIED RISK OR OPPORTUNITY	CURRENT CONTROLS	EFFECTIVENESS OF CONTROLS – score A I U*	ASSESSMENT OF RISK SCORE			FURTHER CONTROLS REQUIRED and/or additional action to improve controls	RESOURCES REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL CONTROLS	ASSESSMENT OF RESIDUAL RISK with control measures implemented			Responsible Officer	Timescale/ Review Frequency
				Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Risk Factor			Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Risk Factor		
2	Ngarrindjeri source community campaigns for the object's repatriation	None	U	3	3	9	Conduct research into impact of such decisions on source communities and possible models for co-curating / knowledge-sharing Make proactive and transparent approach to community representatives about the future curation of the object	Staff time (Keeper of World Art)	2	2	4	Helen Mears (Keeper of World Art)	30 June 2009

* Key to Effectiveness of Controls

A = Adequate

I = Inadequate

U = Unknown

Corporate/Department/Team Project:

Site

Service Objective/Process:

Description:
opportunities

This Risk Register will identify both sides of risks 1) downside risks which threaten success and 2) upside risk

Date of Assessment:

Review Date:

Compiled by:

NO.	IDENTIFIED RISK OR OPPORTUNITY	CURRENT CONTROLS	EFFECTIVENESS OF CONTROLS – score A I U*	ASSESSMENT OF RISK SCORE			FURTHER CONTROLS REQUIRED and/or additional action to improve controls	RESOURCES REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL CONTROLS	ASSESSMENT OF RESIDUAL RISK with control measures implemented			Responsible Officer	Timescale/ Review Frequency
				Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Risk Factor			Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Risk Factor		
3	Possibly as part of the above (#2), new information about the object is provided making the most appropriate course of action its repatriation	Process of evidence gathering / synthesis & analysis repeated and revised report presented to Cabinet	A	3	2	6	No further controls required	Staff time (Keeper of World Art) All costs involved in repatriating the object would be borne by the Australian government				Helen Mears (Keeper of World Art)	N/A

29

* Key to Effectiveness of Controls
A = Adequate I = Inadequate U = Unknown

